

A NOTE ON EXCISION FOR K_2

Wilberd van der Kallen

Summary. We consider Ruth Charney's excision theorem ([C]) for the special case of K_2 . We describe a different proof for this special case. It follows from this proof that if one requires excision only on the K_2 level, one may weaken the condition somewhat. (Recall from [S] that the same holds if one requires excision only on the K_1 level). We also give a counterexample to a stronger statement: We give an example of an ideal J with $J = J^2$ for which excision fails.

1.1. Let J be an associative ring without unit and let m be a positive integer. Following Charney we say that J is an m -excision ideal for K_2 if the following holds: For any associative ring with unit A that contains J as a (2-sided) ideal, the map

$$K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J, J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right] \rightarrow K_2(A, J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right]$$

is an isomorphism. (1)

1.2. Recall that $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J$ is the quotient of $J \otimes J$ by the subgroup generated by the elements $xy \otimes z - x \otimes yz$ with $x, y, z \in J$. Multiplication in J defines a map

$$\mu : J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right] \rightarrow J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right]$$

Theorem. (cf. [C]). If μ is a bijection then J is an m -excision ideal for K_2 .

1.3. Proof. Assume the hypothesis of the theorem. Let J be an ideal

in A . We view $K_2(A, J)$ as the kernel of $\text{St}(A, J) \rightarrow \text{GL}(J)$ where $\text{St}(A, J)$ is the group $\text{St}(A^{(\infty)}, J, A^{(\infty)})$ of the appendix to [V]. It follows from [G - W] that

$$K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J, J) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right] \rightarrow K_2(A, J) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{m} \right]$$

is surjective. Remains to show that the kernel of $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J, J) \rightarrow K_2(A, J)$ is m -torsion. Fix an element α of this kernel. Put

$$J_s = \{j \in J \mid m^s j \in J^2\}$$

so that J is the union of the increasing sequence of ideals J_s . Choose s so that α comes from $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_s, J_s)$ and has trivial image in $\text{St}(A, J_s)$. Define $\overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ to be the quotient of $\text{St}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ by the m -torsion subgroup of $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$. The theorem follows from:

1.4. Lemma. There is a set theoretical map $\tau: \text{St}(A, J_s) \rightarrow \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ such that the composite with $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_s, J_s) \rightarrow \text{St}(A, J_s)$ equals m^s times the natural map $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_s, J_s) \rightarrow \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$.

Proof of Lemma. Let F be the free group on $G(F)$, where $G(F)$ is the generating set used in the definition of $\text{St}(A^{(\infty)}, J_s, A^{(\infty)})$, and let $R = \ker(F \rightarrow \text{St}(A, J_s))$. For each $x \in G(F)$, the m^s -th power of its matrix image lies in the image $E(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ of $\text{St}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$. Replacing m by m^s we may and shall further assume $s = 1$. Given n distinct elements x_1, \dots, x_n in $G(F)$, choose an integer N such that the matrix images $\text{mat}(x_i)$ all lie in $\text{GL}_N(J) \subseteq \text{GL}(J)$. Choose $y_i \in \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ with matrix image

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{mat}(x_i) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{iN} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{mat}(x_i)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and define a homomorphism $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ sending x_i to y_i . Restrict this homomorphism to the commutator subgroup of $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. This restriction φ is characterised by the property:

Let $x, x' \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $y, y' \in \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the matrix image of y is

$$\begin{array}{c} N \downarrow \\ M \downarrow \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \text{mat}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}$$

For some $P \in \text{GL}_M(J)$ and the matrix image of y' is

$$\begin{array}{c} N \downarrow \\ M \downarrow \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \text{mat}(x') & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $Q \in \text{GL}(J)$. Then $\varphi([x, x']) = [y, y']$.

(Compare the construction of Milnor's pairing in [M] §8 and use that we have factored out m -torsion in $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$, including the Steinberg symbols $\{\text{mat}(x_i), \text{mat}(x_i)\}$.)

Using this characterisation we extend φ to all of $[F, F]$ by varying $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let H be the free subgroup of F generated by m -th powers of elements of $G(F)$. For each $X(v, j, w)$ in $G(F)$, choose $p_i, q_i \in J$ so that $\sum_i p_i q_i = mj$ (recall $s = 1$) and put

$$\psi(X(v, j, w)^m) = \prod_i X(vp_i, 1, q_i w) \in \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J).$$

This defines a homomorphism $\psi: H \rightarrow \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$. It agrees with φ on $H \cap [F, F] = [H, H]$. We extend φ to $H[F, F]$ by putting $\varphi(xy) = \psi(x)\varphi(y)$ for $x \in H$, $y \in [F, F]$. Define $\tau: F \rightarrow \overline{\text{St}}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$ by $\tau(x) = \varphi(x^m)$.

One shows that $\tau(x r y) = \tau(xy) \tau(r)$ for $x, y \in F$, $r \in R$.

Thus if τ annihilates R , τ factors through $\text{St}(A, J_s)$ and the lemma easily follows. To show that τ annihilates R indeed, one treats each of the defining relations listed in the appendix to [V]. To

deal with the third, for instance, recall the hypothesis of the theorem and use that for $X(v,j,w) \in G(F)$ there is a homomorphism

$$J \otimes_J J \rightarrow \text{St}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J)$$

sending $p \otimes q$ to $X(vp,1,qw)$.

2. The counterexample. It is commutative. Put $R_r = \mathbb{Z}[T_r, \epsilon] / (T_r^{2^r}, \epsilon^2)$. Embed R_r into R_{r+1} by sending T_r to T_{r+1}^2 , ϵ to ϵ . Let $R = \varinjlim R_r$, $J = \varinjlim J_r$, with $J_r = T_r R_r$. Clearly $J = J^2$ so that

$J \otimes_J J \rightarrow J$ is surjective. Nevertheless $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J, J) \rightarrow K_2(R, J)$ is not injective: Consider $\alpha = \langle T_1, \epsilon T_1 \rangle \langle \epsilon T_1, -T_1 \rangle \in K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J, J)$. Its image in $K_2(R, J)$ vanishes, by an easy computation. But suppose α vanishes. Then $\langle T_1, T_1 \epsilon \rangle \langle \epsilon T_1, -T_1 \rangle$ must vanish in $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_r)$ for some r . However, recall that we have a Chern class $K_2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_r) \rightarrow \Omega^2_{\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_r}$ sending $\langle a, b \rangle$ to $\pm(1 \pm ab)^{-1} da \wedge db$. (The reader may choose conventions and then determine the correct signs). Straightforward computation shows that the image in $\Omega^2_{\mathbb{Z} \oplus J_r}$ of our element is non-zero (This image is not even torsion).

(1) Charney has now replaced $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{m}]$ by an arbitrary subring of \mathbb{Q} . Our theorem generalizes similarly.

References

- [C] R.M. Charney, A note on excision in K-theory.
These proceedings.
- [G-W] S.G. Geller and C.A. Weibel, $K_1(A,B,I)$. To appear in
J. reine angew. Math.
- [M] J. Milnor, Introduction to Algebraic K-theory, Annals of
Math. Studies, Vol. 72. Princeton: University Press 1971
- [S] R.G. Swan, Excision in Algebraic K-theory, J. Pure and
Appl. Algebra 1 (1971), 221-252.
- [V] T. Vorst, Localization of the K-theory of polynomial ex-
tensions, Math. Ann. 244, 33-53 (1979).

